

ARIZONA'S PHYSICIAN SHORTAGE:

Monitoring Rural Physician Retention and Relocation

Arizona has a shortage of 560 primary care physicians (PCPs) and will require an additional 1,941 by 2030 as due to population increases, higher rates of chronic disease and aging.¹⁻² Physician supply is unevenly distributed between rural and urban areas within the state, and these disparities are growing.³ Accurately predicting future physician supply and demand is critical to ensuring Arizonans meet their healthcare needs in the future. Critical indicators of physician workforce capacity are given below.

INDICATORS

Background

- **Younger physicians**, those born in **metropolitan areas** and **female physicians** are less likely to go into rural practice.⁴⁻⁶
- Medical students or Residents who grew up in a rural area are up to 4 times as likely to practice in a rural setting.⁶

Physician Age

- In a healthy workforce, **approximately 25% of physicians should be 56 years of age or older.**⁷ Areas where this proportion is significantly greater than physicians less than 39 years old suggests retiring physicians will cause a gap in service.^{7,8}
- The AMA Masterfile can be inaccurate in determining whether a physician is active or retired, and the Current Population Survey is limited in value because it provides retirement rates for all licensed professionals, which includes lawyers, accountants, etc.⁹ Directly surveying physicians of their intent to retire provides greatest accuracy. **Of physicians who say they will stay at their practice location, approximately 80% stay.**¹⁰

Education

- **Attending Undergraduate (UME)** or **Graduate Medical Education (GME)** in-state can predict future practice in Arizona, though GME may be more predictive (42-55% retention).^{11,12} North Carolina reported a 67% retention rate for who completed both UME and GME in the same state.¹¹
- Physicians who are part of a specific rural training cohort during their UME are significantly more likely to practice in a rural setting than their peers.¹³
- **Physicians are likely to practice near where they complete residency**, yet 96% of the state's GME slots are concentrated in Maricopa and Pima Counties.^{14,15} **Residency matches within the state today can predict physician supply in 10 years.**^{7,16}
- "One-Two" residency programs—one year in an urban, academic hospital followed by two years in a rural area are effective in rural recruitment, while providing the amenities of academic residency programs centered around large, academic hospitals.^{7,12,14}
- The literature is unclear as to whether scholarships and loan repayment programs offered to incentivize rural practice are effective in retaining physicians, or if they are a recruitment tool only.¹⁷ Some programs have shown retention success. The **National Health Service Corps (NHSC) reports greater than 81% of recent participants remained in rural areas** after completion of their service agreements, suggesting such programs could be effective.¹⁸



Structural Factors

- Counties with no hospital and low PCP supply see higher rates of attrition, suggesting that physician support networks are critical.⁵
- The increased autonomy of non-physician providers (NPPs) may negatively impact the supply of primary care physicians in the state, as physicians may view specialist positions as more secure.⁴

RECOMMENDATIONS FOR EFFECTIVE PHYSICIAN SURVEYS

In 2018, legislation was passed to create the state's first centralized Health Professionals Workforce Database. The Workforce Database survey already collects relevant indicators such as intent to retire, gender, and whether or not the respondent is a primary care provider. Below are recommendations to expand on these efforts.

Recommendation #1: Add Questions on Medical Training to the Workforce Database Survey

To provide a better understanding of recruitment and retention efforts, the survey should be expanded to include:

1. **Site of Undergraduate Medical Education** (Medical School)
2. **Site of Graduate Medical Education**
3. **Specialty**
4. Participation in **National Health Service Corps, PCP Scholarship, State Loan Repayment Programs**, or **other loan repayment program**.

Recommendation #2: Consider the Effect of Commutes on Physician Relocation

It may be worthwhile to **collect the zip code of healthcare professionals' primary residence** as well. Literature on commute length as it relates to retention rates is lacking, and this data point may also serve as a proxy for community integration.

Recommendation #3: Mailing Surveys Saves Personnel Resources

Phone and personal interview surveys were not statistically more likely to increase response rates over mailed surveys.¹⁹ Printed, mailed surveys can be an effective way to save time and expenses associated with in-person interviews.

Recommendation #4: Use Only Stamped Mail

Mailed surveys should be sent in stamped, non-metered envelopes.^{19,20} Varying the color and shape of envelope may also increase response rates, as it is less likely to be perceived as junk mail.²¹

Recommendation #5: Use Pre-Paid Incentives to Capture Non-Respondents

Inclusion of small, \$1-5 **prepaid incentives with the survey can dramatically increase response rates** of initial non-respondents.^{19,20} Promissory incentives, such as raffles or checks mailed for returned surveys, do not increase response rates.^{19,22}

Recommendation #6: Collect Purposive Samples from Rural Physicians

Identify rural physicians based on their reported zip codes in the State Healthcare Professionals Workforce Database and ask rural physicians for their interest in preceptor opportunities. Use open-ended questions to evaluate how best to support teaching opportunities in rural areas to increase medical student exposure.

REFERENCES

1. Designated health professional shortage areas statistics: First quarter of fiscal year 2021, Designated HPSA quarterly summary. HRSA.gov. <https://data.hrsa.gov/Default/GenerateHPSAQuarterlyReport>. Published January 01, 2021. Accessed March 19, 2021.
2. Petterson SM, Cai A, Moore M, Brazemore A. Arizona: Projecting primary care physician workforce. Graham-center.org. <https://www.graham-center.org/content/dam/rgc/documents/maps-data-tools/state-collections/workforce-projections/Arizona.pdf>. Published September 2013. Accessed March 19, 2021.
3. Koch B, Coates S, Carter H, Derksen D. Tackling the primary care physician shortage in Arizona. Crh.arizona.edu. https://crh.arizona.edu/sites/default/files/pdf/topics/20190307-final_Tackling-PCP-shortage.pdf. Published March 7, 2019. Accessed March 19, 2021.
4. Cooper RA, Getzen TE, McKee HJ, Laud P. Economic and demographic trends signal an impending physician shortage. *Health Aff.* 2002;21(1), 140-154. DOI:10.1377/hlthaff.21.1.140. Accessed March 19, 2021.
5. McGrail MR, Wingrove PM, Petterson SM, Bazemore AW. Mobility of US rural primary care physicians during 2000-2014. *Ann Fam Med.* 2017;15(4), 322-328. <https://doi.org/10.1370/afm.2096>. Accessed March 19, 2021.
6. Lee DM, Nichols T. Physician recruitment and retention in rural and underserved areas. *Int J Health Care Qual Assur.* 2014;27(7),642-652. <https://doi.org/10.1108/IJHCQA-04-2014-0042>.
7. McEllistrem-Evenson A. Informing rural primary care workforce policy: What does the evidence tell us? Ruralhealthresearch.org. <https://www.ruralhealthinfo.org/assets/1145-4634/informing-rural-primary-care-workforce-policy.pdf>. Published April 2011. Accessed March 19, 2021.
8. Doescher MP, Fordyce MA, Skillman SM. The aging of the rural generalist physician workforce: Will some locations be more affected than others? Washington.edu. http://depts.washington.edu/uwrhrc/uploads/RHRC_FR127_Fordyce.pdf. Published September 2013. Accessed March 19, 2021.
9. The physician workforce: Projections and research into current issues affecting supply and demand. HRSA.gov. <https://bhw.hrsa.gov/sites/default/files/bureau-health-workforce/data-research/physiciansupplyissues.pdf>. Published December 2008. Accessed March 19, 2021. Feeley TH. Using the Theory of Reasoned Action to model retention in rural primary care physicians. *J Rural Health.* 2003;19(3), 245-251. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1748-0361.2003.tb00570.x>
10. Fraher EP, Spero JC. The state of the physician workforce in North Carolina: Overall physician supply will likely be sufficient but is maldistributed by specialty and geography. Shepscenter.unc.edu. <https://www.shepscenter.unc.edu/wp-content/uploads/2015/08/MedicalEducationBrief-ShepsCenter- August20151.pdf>. Published August 2015. Accessed March 19, 2021.
11. Burges A, Coburn AF. Innovations in rural health system development: Recruiting and retaining Maine's health care workforce. Usm.maine.edu. https://digitalcommons.usm.maine.edu/cgi/viewcontent.cgi?article=1006&context=health_system_reform. Published October 28, 2016. Accessed March 19, 2021.
12. Rabinowitz HK, Diamond JJ, Markham FW, Wortman JR. Medical school programs to increase the rural physician supply: A systemic review and projected impact of widespread replication. *Acad Med.* 2008;83(3), 235-243. <https://doi.org/10.1097/ACM.0b013e318163789b>. Accessed March 19, 2021.
13. Rosenblatt RA, Chen FM, Lishner DM, Doescher MP. The future of family medicine and implications for rural primary care physician supply. Washington.edu. http://depts.washington.edu/uwrhrc/uploads/RHRC_FR125_Rosenblatt.pdf. Published August 2010. Accessed March 19, 2021.
14. 2000-2018 graduate medical training for teaching hospitals. Graham-center.org. <https://www.graham-center.org/rgc/maps-data-tools/data-tables/gme/00-18.html>. Published c2021. Accessed March 19, 2021.
15. Fraher EP, Knapton A, Holmes GM. A methodology for using workforce data to decide which specialties and states to target for graduate medical education expansion. *Health Serv Res.* 2017;52(S1), 508-528. <https://doi.org/10.1111/1475-6773.12649>. Accessed March 19, 2021.
16. Morken C, Bruksch-Meck K, Crouse B, Traxler K. Factors influencing rural physician retention following completion of a rural training track family medicine residency program. *WMJ.* 2018;117(5),208-210.
17. Report To Congress: National Health Service Corps for the year 2019. Bhw.hrsa.gov. <https://bhw.hrsa.gov/sites/default/files/bureau-health-workforce/about-us/reports-to-congress/nhsc-report-congress-2019.pdf>. Published September 2019. Accessed May 1, 2021.
18. Kellerman SE, Herold J. Physician response to surveys. A review of the literature. *Am J Prev Med.* 2001;20(1),61-67. [https://doi.org/10.1016/s0749-3797\(00\)00258-0](https://doi.org/10.1016/s0749-3797(00)00258-0).
19. Thorpe C, Ryan B, McLean SL, Burt A, Stewart M, Brown JB, Reid GJ, Harris S. How to obtain excellent response rates when surveying physicians. *Fam Pract.* 2009;26(1),65-68. <https://doi.org/10.1093/fampra/cm097>.
20. Brtnikova M, Crane LA, Allison MA, Hurley LP, Beaty BL, Kempe A. A method for achieving high response rates in national surveys of U.S. primary care physicians. *PLoS One.* 2018;13(8), e0202755. <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0202755>.
21. James KM, Ziegenfuss JY, Tilburt JC, Harris AM, Beebe TJ. Getting physicians to respond: the impact of incentive type and timing on physician survey response rates. *Health Serv Res.* 2011;46(1Pt 1), 232-242. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1475-6773.2010.01181.x>.